

Guidance for best practice from MetroMRT

Lena Johansson, NPL





National Measurement Institutes



- MetroMRT is an NMI led European project looking to improve and provide tools for clinical implementation of dosimetry for Molecular Radiotherapy
- National standards for weights and measures are maintained by a National Measurement Institute (NMI) which provides the highest level of standards for the calibration and measurement traceability infrastructure in that country.
- MetroMRT set out to
 - provide tools for harmonisation of activity and dose measurements/estimates.
 - determine and improve accuracy and determine uncertainties in those measurements.
 - improve measurement techniques and nuclear data.





International Equivalence



MRA (BIPM)

- Key comparisons (Ge/Ga-68 ongoing)
- SIR system
 - Gamma emitters
 - Beta emitters
 - Short-lived radionuclides

Primary standards

- > 100 radionuclides
- Repeated and submitted to BIPM/CIPM every 10-20 years

Secondary standards

- Ionisation chamber
- Use of ICP-MS

Nuclear data

 Dedicated gamma and beta spectrometry and detector

> European Metrology Research Programme Programme of EURAMET

 The CIPM MRA has now been signed
 by the representatives of 92
 institutes – from 52 Member States, 36 Associates of the CGPM, and 4 international organizations – and covers a further 147 institutes designated by the signatory bodies.



National Measurement Institutes











A National Measurement Good Practice Guide No. 93

Protocol for Establishing and Maintaining the Calibration of Medical Radionuclide Calibrators and their Quality Control



+ e learning

MetroMRT Guidance deliverables



- Standard transfer protocol for ⁹⁰ Y microspheres (NPL, CEA, ENEA)
- Recommended techniques for the use of quantitative imaging to measure radionuclide uptake and retention (NPL, CMI, VSL, AUSL, Christie, ICR, IFO, ISS, LUND, UCL, Velindre)
- Recommended techniques for obtaining absorbed dose to target and critical normal tissues (ENEA, CMI, NPL, VSL, AUSL, Christie, ICR, IFO, ISS, LUND, UCL, Velindre)
- Recommended methodology for deriving the uncertainty in an absorbed dose evaluation (NPL, AUSL, Christie, ICR, IFO, ISS, LUND, UCL, Velindre)
- Advice on measures to reduce the uncertainty in MRT dosimetry and the implications for clinical practice and research (**NPL**, CMI, ENEA, ICR, LUND, UCL)

MetroMRT - Activity measurements NPL

 Development of the TDCR-Cerenkov technique for direct standardisation of radiopharmaceuticals



National Physical Laboratory

- Development of standardisation and transfer methods for ⁹⁰Y microsphere samples
- Determination of beta spectra







Triple to Double Coincidence Ratio



TDCR Free parameter model



TDCR method (3 PMTs):

$$\varepsilon_{\rm D} = \int_{0}^{E_{\rm max}} S({\rm E}) (3(1 - e^{-\frac{Q({\rm E}){\rm E}}{2{\rm M}}})^2 - 2(1 - e^{-\frac{Q({\rm E}){\rm E}}{3{\rm M}}})^3) dE$$

$$\varepsilon_{\rm T} = \int_{0}^{E_{\rm max}} S({\rm E}) (1 - e^{-\frac{Q({\rm E}){\rm E}}{3{\rm M}}})^3 dE$$

The free parameter *M* is derived from the ratio of the experimental counting rate.

$$TDCR = \frac{R_T}{R_D} = \frac{\varepsilon_T}{\varepsilon_D}$$

For primary <u>activity determination</u> using liquid scintillation, the TDCR method nearly and del ight emission to establish a <u>relationship between the detection efficiency and the TDCR ratio</u>

✓ Analytical modelling (classical)

Coincidence calculations based on an analytical expression using the mean number of photoelectrons in PMTs

(Poissonian distribution for photoelectrons)

✓ Monte Carlo modelling (using the Geant4 code)

Coincidence calculations based on the simulation of photon propagation from their creation to the production of photoelectrons in PMTs

(using a Poissonian distribution for <u>optical photons</u>) Correlations between PMTs taken into account

TDCR-Geant4 model needs a comprehensive description of the geometry and optical properties of the detector

3-photomultipliers TDCR set-up



- Anisotropy of Cerenkov light emission
- Threshold effect (260 keV in aqueous solution)
- Large spectral bandwidth of light (from UV to visible)
- <u>TDCR-Cerenkov technique in NMIs</u>
 - ⇒ <u>NPL:</u> Adaptation of the classical TDCR model (³²P, ⁹⁰Y, ⁵⁶Mn)
 - ⇒ LNHB: Monte Carlo modeling using the Geant4 code (³²P, ⁹⁰Y, ¹¹C)





- TDCR-Cerenkov counting directly carried out in aqueous solution (no liquid scintillator)
- Variation of the detection efficiency implemented by defocusing the photomultipliers
- Activity calculated using the TDCR-Geant4 model first validated with aqueous solution of ⁹⁰Y in glass vials



⁹⁰Y SIR spheres transfer protocol

- Primary Standard can only be achieved when ⁹⁰Y is in solution spheres have to be dissolved
- Secondary standards achieved by measurements of ⁹⁰Y spheres and the same matrix dissolved
- Influence of geometric parameters was determined due to strong attenuation of bremsstrahlung





Elapsed time (hh:mm:ss) of measurement



MetroMRT – Quantitative Imaging



Current practice

The most basic imaging-based dosimetry would be based on whole body scans. The total counts in the whole body image can be extracted, and used to perform dosimetry calculations. Making this slightly more complicated, you can draw regions of interest around organs or lesions and use the count information within these regions to perform the dosimetry.

The next step up is to draw your regions of interest on the 3D SPECT/PET images to get the count information.

As far as performing the dosimetry calculations is concerned, the most basic method is to use the MIRD calculations, and consider only the dose to the organ of interest due to the activity in the organ of interest (assuming that the doses due to other organs is negligible in comparison). For some applications this is sufficient, but there is currently a large shift in thinking towards using Monte Carlo-based software to perform voxel based dosimetry instead.



Quantitative imaging - calibration

Investigation of methods calibrating a QI measurement with traceability to an activity standard

- Y-90, I-131, Lu-177
- Reference phantom: Elliptical Jaszczak phantom, 33mm dia. fillable sphere
- Reference conditions:
 - In air on axis
 - In water on axis
 - In water off axis
- Which gives the "calibration factor"?









Hospitals / cameras

- <u>Lu-177</u>
 - Würzburg Siemens Symbia (8ml sphere used)
 - Portsmouth Siemens Symbia
 - (Frimley Philips XCT)
- - Manchester GE Hawkeye
 - Southampton GE Hawkeye
- Lu-177 & I-131
 - London GE Discovery
 - Guildford GE Hawkeye

National Measurement System







National Physical Laboratory

What is "off-centre"??



"Off-set" / "all spheres"



"Extreme off-set"

We tried both.....

National Measurement System











London, Lu-177, with Resolution Recovery: cps/MBq in VOI for 16ml sphere

Impact of correction factors for different geometries (ENEA , IFO Hospital)





Preliminary results



- Gamma camera calibration is a prerequisite for absolute quantification studies via SPECT imaging, i.e. the system sensitivity must be preliminarily assessed to convert the reconstructed SPECT voxel values to absolute activity or activity concentration.
- Sensitivity values (cps/Bq) are geometry-dependent i.e. depend on the particular reference condition. In general, acquisitions performed with the IRIX gamma camera provided good results at 208 keV, with agreement within 5% for all geometries.
- The use of a Jaszczak sphere in water provided sensitivity values capable of recovering the activity in anthropomorphic geometry within 1% for the 208 keV peak, for both gamma cameras. The point source provided the poorest results (most likely because scatter and attenuation correction are not incorporated in the calibration factor?)
- As a general rule, acquisition at the lower photopeak provided results with larger deviation from the measured activity concentration. Acquisition on the Lu-177 main photopeak (208 keV) are therefore recommended in the clinical practice. Scatter and attenuation play a major role at 113 keV and are likely to hinder an accurate absolute quantification.
- For both gamma cameras all geometries provided sensitivity values capable of recovering the activity in anthropomorphic geometry within about 12% (range -11.9%/+7.1%) for acquisitions at the 208 keV photopeak.

Abolute quantification using a commercial software with advanced scatter and attenuation correction algorithms (ENEA , IFO Hospital)

- Acquisition were performed in anthropomorphic geometry with Lu-177 supplied by Perkin-Elmer. A sample of the Lu-177 solution was shipped to ENEA for measurements of activity concentration both with ionization chambers and HpGe.
- Three different "tumour" to background activity concentration ratios were considered

	Activity conc. (1.1% uAc)		Tumor/background ratio
Insert concentration	7,14	MBq/mL	
Background concentration 1 Background concentration 2 Background concentration 3	0,50 0,81 1,19	MBq/mL MBq/mL MBq/mL	14,22 8,81 6,00

- Matrix size: 128 x 128
- Total projections: 90
- Projections per head: 45
- Seconds/projections: 10 sec
- •OSEM reconstruction algorithm, 10 iterations, 10 substeps
- Partial volume correction applied (through recovery coefficient)
- Compensation for spill-in effect
- •Correction for septal penetration



National Physical Laboratory

Measurements in anthropomorphic geometry : recovered activity into the insert

Deviation from measured activity (live	r)
Insert/Background 1	-12,8 %
Insert/Background 2	-1,9 %
Insert/Background 3	4,7 %



Deviation from measured activity (tu	mor)
Insert/Background 1	11,8 %
Insert/Background 2	9,5 %
Insert/Background 3	-1,3 %



- Both the insert and the background activity concentrations were recovered with increasing accuracy for decreasing tumor to background ratio. The worst case scenario provided deviations approximately within 12% both for the background and for the tumor insert. For a T/B ratio of about 6, the recovered activities were within 5%.
- A Jaszczack sphere in water resulted a good reference condition capable of recovering activities in large and small target regions. Most likely because possible biases due to attenuation and scatter correction are included into the calibration factor.
- Advanced correction factor are likely to increase the accuracy in absolute activity quantification in SPECT. **QSPECT software proved good in recovering activity in anthropomorphic geometry.**

Protocol for Calibration of a SPECT/CT camera for use in Quantitative Imaging



C C	0 0
Source Preparation	
Equipment	
Chemistry	
Preparation of carrier solutions	
 Preparation of sources 	
Activity measurement	
 Filling of containers 	
Inactive preparation:	
Preparation of sources:	
Procedure for filling sphere	on)
 Gamma camera calibration and basic verification for 177Lu 	
Equipment	
▲ Setup	
Camera setup	
Phantom setup	bout the calibration procedure
Acquisition parameters	should allow a homogenous and
Reconstruction parameters	on of the measurements.
Measurements	aining quantities of inactive
Data processing	onent is not required however
 Determination of calibration figure 	Is being used. Some typical
Conversion of total counts to Count Rate	tions prepared using peptides or
Determination of calibration figure	

Uncertainties





MetroMRT – QI Comparison Exercise



Participation so far:

The Christie (UK) * Royal Surrey County Hospital (UK) Royal Marsden Hospital (UK) Bradford Teaching Hospital (UK)+ Lund University Hospital (Sweden) Erasmus MC (the Netherlands) Universitätsklinikum Würzburg (Germany) FN MOTOL (Prague, Czech Rep)

Italian clinics are about to submit results as well.

MetroMRT calibration protocol also performed + Only calibration sphere images taken as shell damaged * Additional measurements of calibration sphere in comparison source position taken





MetroMRT – guidance on Activity Measurements



Primary standard for Y-90 SIR spheres available and

Calibration services for radionuclide calibrators and protocol for dissolution of SIR spheres available to clinics

Nuclear data to be published through NEA and DDEP http://www.nucleide.org/DDEP_WG/DDEPdata.htm



MetroMRT – guidance on Quantitative Imaging



SPECT/CT camera Calibration Protocol available and Comparison exercise results underway

Report on influence of correction factors in quantitative imaging will be available



Next steps wish list



- Further development of anthropomorphic phantoms for validation of QI.
- Development of International Protocols for MRT (with IAEA and EANM?).
- Build up phantom and image library for QI validation.
- NMI contribution to clinical trials.
- MetroMRT II (first round announced sometime in May 2015).



Radionuclide Calibrator e-Learning Course





- Get the right skills to operate radionuclide calibrators
- Ensure their effective use, in a clinical setting
- Implement quality assurance tasks
- Identify sources of uncertainty, and improve your measurements

Radionuclide Calibrator e-Learning Course







The first module is free!

Register now and give us your **feedback** at: <u>www.npl.co.uk/e-learning</u>

This course is aimed at:

- Medical physicists working in nuclear medicine.
- Nuclear medicine technologists & practitioners.
- Trainees on the NHS PTP or STP training programmes.
- Radiopharmacists & those working in radiopharmacies.



Acknowledgements



Thanks are due to: NMI colleagues Unfunded Partners and Collaborators SIRTeX Camera manufacturers GE Healthcare for provision of software Advisory Committee members





European Metrology Research ProgrammeProgramme of EURAMET

The EMRP is jointly funded by the EMRP participating countries within EURAMET and the European Union